



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 2572 of 2024

Date of decision : 26.3.2024.

Sardar Singh ...Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. & another ...Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satyen Vaidya, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Vijay Chaudhary, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. L. N. Sharma, Addl. A.G.

Satyen Vaidya, Judge (Oral):

Petitioner has approached this Court by way of the instant petition for directions to respondents No.2 to decide the service appeal of the petitioner in time bound manner.

2. Petitioner is stated to have filed appeal (Annexure P-7) on 27.3.2023 against the office order dated 6.12.2022 (Annexure P-5), issued by respondent No.3.

3. The prayer as made by the petitioner is justified, as the disciplinary proceedings including the service appeal are required to be decided in time bound manner. They cannot be allowed to linger on for all time to come. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to decide the appeal of the petitioner (Annexure P-7) within four weeks

¹ ***Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***

from the date of production of this order by passing a detailed and speaking order. The petitioner shall also be afforded a personal hearing before final decision. Pending applications, if any also stand disposed of.

26th March, 2024
(kck)

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

High Court of H.P.