



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 2768 of 2024**

**Decided on: 02.04.2024**

---

Chandan Anand and ors.

....Petitioners.

**Versus**

State of H.P.

...Respondent.

---

**Coram**

***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satyen Vaidya, Judge.***

**Whether approved for reporting?<sup>1</sup>**

---

For the petitioners : Ms. Anuja Mehta, Advocate.

For the respondent : Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional  
Advocate General.

---

**Satyen Vaidya, Judge** (*Oral*)

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned  
Additional Advocate General, appears and waives  
service of notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits  
that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered

---

<sup>1</sup> *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

by the judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in **CWP No. 2004 of 2017** alongwith connected matter, titled as **Taj Mohammad and Ors. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.**, decided on **03.08.2023**. He further submits that petitioners shall be content in case the respondent is directed to consider the case of the petitioners in a time bound manner in light of judgment *ibid*.

3. The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

4. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of by directing respondent to consider and decide the case of the petitioners in light of judgment passed by

Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 2004 of 2017, within eight weeks from today by passing a detailed and reasoned order. In case, the petitioners are found similarly situated as petitioners in CWP No. 2004 of 2017, they will also be granted the same benefits as granted to the petitioners in above referred case.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2024  
(sushma)

**(Satyen Vaidya)**  
**Judge**

High Court of J.P.