

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 2774 of 2024

Decided on: 02.04.2024

Raj Kumar.

...Petitioner.

Versus

HPSFCL and Ors.

..Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satyen Vaidya, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?1

For the petitioner

Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate.

For the respondents

Ms. Kiran Dhiman, Advocate, for

respondent No.1.

Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General, for respondent

No.2.

Satyen Vaidya, Judge (Oral)

Notice. Ms. Kiran Dhiman, learned counsel and Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and respondent No.2-State.

Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in LPA No. 165 of 2021 alongwith connected matters, titled as State of Himachal Pradesh Vs. Surajmani and Another, decided on 12.01.2023. He further submits that petitioner shall be content in case the respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner in a time bound manner in light of judgment ibid.
- 3. Prayer being innocuous is not opposed.
- 4. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of by directing respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in light of the judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in LPA No. 165 of 2021 alongwith connected matters, within eight weeks from today, by passing a detailed and reasoned order. In case, the petitioner is found similarly situated as respondents in LPA No. 165 of 2021, he will also be granted the same benefits as granted to respondents in above referred case.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

