IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA

CWP No. 16608 & 16612/2024
Decided on: 31.12.2024

1. CWP No.16608/2024
Munish Sharma …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents.

2. CWP No. 16612/2024
Jeeting Sharma …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents.

……

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioners: **Mr. Rajat Kumar & Mr. Piyush Dhanotia, Advocates.**

For the respondents: **Mr. Dalip K. Sharma, Additional Advocate General.**

***Jyotsna Rewal Dua* , J**

Notice. Mr. Dalip K. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2**.** These writ petitions have been filed for the grant of following substantive reliefs:-

> *"(i) That this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue the Writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant the benefits of High Stage of Pay i.e. Rs. 37,600/- to the petitioner on*

[1] *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes*

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 10:56:14 :::CIS

completion of 2 years of regular service as JBT in accordance with notification dated 06.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) in the interest of justice. of Himachal Pradesh Civil Services (Revised pay) Rules, 2022, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has completed two years of regular service as on 18.01.2024.

ii. That the respondents may kindly be directed to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of law laid down by this Hon'ble Court in CWP No. 1638 of 2024 titled as Mohit Sharma & another Vs. State of H.P, and CWP 14628 of 2024 titled as Sanjeev Vardhan Vs. State of HP & others, in a time bound manner."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved and the relief prayed for by the petitioners has already been adjudicated in ***Mohit Sharma and Anr. Vs. State of H.P. and others***[2]. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners would be content, if the cases of the petitioners are considered by the respondents in light of the aforesaid judgment within a time bound schedule.

Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

4. Having regard to above submissions but without examining the merits of the matter, these petitions are disposed of by directing the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgment and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of

[2]2 CWP No. 1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 10:56:14 :::CIS

receipt of copy of this order. The decision so arrived at, shall be communicated to the petitioners.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also to stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

31st December, 2024(rohit)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 10:56:14 :::CIS