**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 18393/2026
Decided on: 27.10.2026**

Tej Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....Respondents.

.....

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner: **Mr. Ram Kumar and Akash Dehru, Advocates.**

For the respondents: **Mr. Seema Sharma, Deputy Advocate General.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

The petitioner seeks a direction to the respondent to pay the balance arrears payable to him under a consideration order statedly passed by the respondent. The said order has not been placed on record. It has not even been referred to in the petition. It is not decipherable from the petition as to what is the admitted liability towards the petitioner in respect of the arrears allegedly due to him or the mode of payment of the asserted liability of the respondent towards the petitioner.

2. Confronted with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to file afresh with proper pleadings and supporting documents.

---

1 *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes*

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 08:33:34 :::CIS

3. Granting permission as prayed for, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

27th October, 2026(rohit)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 08:33:34 :::CIS