IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No. 18348 of 2026 along with connected matters.
Decided on : 26th October, 2026

---

1. CWP No. 18348 of 2026.

Pooja Rajput **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

2. CWP No. 18417 of 2026.

Smt. Nisha Sharma **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

3. CWP No. 18418 of 2026.

Mamta Kumari **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

4. CWP No. 18659 of 2026.

Ashwani Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

5. CWP No. 18453 of 2026.

Anjana Kumari **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

6. CWP No. 18442 of 2026.

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 10/11/2026 13:30:50 :::CIS

Kulbhushan Thakur & Ors. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

7. CWP No. 18454 of 2026.

Shivani Sharma **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. **....Respondents.**

8. CWP No. 18619 of 2026.

Shailja Sood **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. **....Respondents.**

9. CWP No. 18459 of 2026.

Prem Lata & Ors. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

10. CWP No. 18460 of 2026.

Kuldeep Singh **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Mandeep Chandel, Advocate, Mr. Bhender Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate, Mr. Ravneet Kumar, Advocate, Mr. Anil

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 10/11/2026 13:30:50 :::CIS

Kumar, Advocate, Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Motta, Mr. Surender K. Sharma, Advocate and Ms. Anita Parmar, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. L. N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Notice. Mr. L. N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners seek applicability of **Ghanshyam Dass and Ors Vs. State of H.P. and Ors.**[2].

3. Learned Additional Advocate General has apprised that aforesaid decision has been stayed under order dated 17.08.2026 passed in LPA No. 205 of 2025 and other connected matters.

4. In view of above position, the relief in the nature claimed by the petitioners cannot be granted to them at this stage. These writ petitions, therefore, are disposed of with direction to the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners within six weeks after the adjudication of LPA No. 205 of 2025 and in terms thereof.

---

[2]CWP No. 2056 of 2023 decided alongwith connected matters on 21.03.2024

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 10/11/2026 13:30:50 :::CIS

5. With the above observations, the writ petitions stand disposed of. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also to stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

26th October, 2026.
(jai)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 10/11/2026 13:30:50 :::CIS