IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No. 18516 of 2026.
Decided on : 26th October, 2026

Rakesh Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

HRTC & Ors. **....Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]
For the Petitioner: Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate.
For the respondents: Mr. Vinod Kumar Gupta, Advocate.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Notice. Mr. Vinod Kumar Gupta, learned counsel appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation, Annexure P-5, seeking applicability of ***Nek Ram vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid

---

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
[2]CWP No.3050 of 2014 decided on 17.07.2014.

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 10/11/2026 09:44:57 :::CIS

representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned counsel for the respondents is not averse to this prayer.

5. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

26th October, 2026.
(jai)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 10/11/2026 09:44:57 :::CIS