IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18585 of 2026

Decided on: 26th October, 2026

Kiran Sharma **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Ankit Chandel, Advocate vice Mr. Happy Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Sikander Bhushan, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Sikander Bhushan, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that her representation (Annexure P-6) seeking applicability of ***Madan Lal Sharma Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and another***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No.3341 of 2019, decided on 04.09.2021

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 06/11/2026 10:34:47 :::CIS

competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/ competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 26, 2026
Mukesh

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 06/11/2026 10:34:47 :::CIS