IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 18902/2026
Decided on: 28.10.2026

Repat Ram .....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr. .....Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner: Mr. Happy Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents: Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation dated at Annexures P-6, seeking applicability of **Madan Lal Vs. State of H.P. and Anr[2].** has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**
[2] CWP No. 3341/2019 decided on 04.09.2021

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 05/11/2026 17:31:53 :::CIS

representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

5. The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

28th October, 2026
rohit

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 05/11/2026 17:31:53 :::CIS