IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No.19283 of 2026 along with connected matters.
Decided on : 2nd November, 2026

---

1. CWP No. 19283 of 2026.

Puran Chand & Anr. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

2. CWP No. 19286 2026.

Anita Devi & Anr. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

3. CWP No. 19287 of 2026.

Vinod Kumar & Anr. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

4. CWP No. 19290 of 2026.

Sunita Devi **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

5. CWP No. 19453 of 2026.

Kamlesh Kumar & Ors. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

6. CWP No. 19455 of 2026.

Ram Sawroop **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 17:49:27 :::CIS

7. CWP No. 19317 of 2026.

Balbir Singh **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Gambhir Singh Chauhan, Advocate, Mr. Vivek Thakur and Mr. Vijay Mokhta, Advocate,

For the respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations (annexed with the respective petitions), seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
[2] CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 17:49:27 :::CIS

respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

2nd November, 2026.
(jai)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 17:49:27 :::CIS