IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA
CWP No. 19375 of 2026.
Decided on : 29th October, 2026

Debo Devi **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Parkash Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Notice. Mr. L. N. Sharma, Learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation, Annexure P-6, seeking applicability of ***State of H.P. & Anr. vs. Rajender Kumar***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid

---

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
[2] CWP No. 4482 of 2019, decided on 12.03.2020.

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 06/11/2026 11:29:35 :::CIS

representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

5. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/ competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

29th October, 2026.
(jai)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 06/11/2026 11:29:35 :::CIS