IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 19524/2026
Decided on: 02.11.2026

Sanchita .....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. .....Respondents

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioners: Mr. Shivom Vashista, Advocate.

For the respondents: Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that her representation dated 01.09.2026 (Annexure P-6), seeking applicability of ***Surya Prabha & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. and Ors[2].*** has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid

---

[1]**Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No. 5390/2024 decided on 28.05.2025

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 16:49:44 :::CIS

representation, in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

2nd November, 2026
rohit

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 16:49:44 :::CIS