IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.19598 of 2026
Decided on: 04.11.2026

Ram Nath Sharma **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Sudhanshu Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation, annexed with the writ petition, seeking applicability of ***Madan Lal Sharma & Anr. Versus State of H.P. & Anr.***[2] has not been decided till date by the

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**
[2] CWP No.3341 of 2019, decided on 04.09.2021

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 07/11/2026 17:37:47 :::CIS

respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above decision, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

November 4, 2026
R.Atal

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 07/11/2026 17:37:47 :::CIS