IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 19630 of 2026
Decided on: 04.11.2026

Ankesh Singh **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Vaibhav Tanwar, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents .

2. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation, annexed with the writ petition, seeking applicability of ***Pankaj Kumar Lakhanpal and Ors. Versus State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**
[2] CWP No.1599 of2020 decided alongwith connected matters on 02.03.2023

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 07/11/2026 17:17:43 :::CIS

petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above decision, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also to stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

November 4, 2026
R.Atal

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 07/11/2026 17:17:43 :::CIS