IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No.20033 of 2026.
Decided on : 5th November, 2026

Gurdeep Singh **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Sanjeev kumar Suri, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Petitioner has laid challenge to office order dated 16.09.2026, Annexure P-7, whereunder while granting him study leave, respondent No. 2 has imposed a condition upon the petitioner to execute a bond in terms of Rule 50 of the CCS (Leave) Rules, 1972, undertaking to serve the government for a period of 5 years after the expiry of the leave. The petition has been filed on the ground that above condition is contrary to Rule 50(5)(iii) of CCS (Leave) Rules, as according to the petitioner, the said rule provides for execution of a bond undertaking to serve the government for a period of 3 years and confines the requirement of 5 years to the specifically mentioned category of a Central Health Service officer.

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 06/11/2026 17:08:59 :::CIS

2. Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record copy of notification dated 28.06.2016, whereby the respondent-State had carried out amendment to Rule 50 of the Central Civil Services (Leave) Rules, 1972. as under:

Amendment of rule 50		In rule 50 of the Central Civil Services (Leave) Rules, 1972, in their application to the State of Himachal Pradesh, in sub-rule (5) for the existing clauses (ii) & (iii), the following clauses shall be substituted, namely:-
	"(ii)	Who has no crossed the age of 50 years on the date of submitting the application for such study leave, and
	(iii)	who executes a Bond as laid down in rule 53(4) under taking to serve the Government for a period of five years and after the expiry of the leave."

3. Noticing the above amendment carried out by the respondent-State, learned counsel for the petitioner, in all fairness, seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition.

4. Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

5th November, 2026.
(jai)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 06/11/2026 17:08:59 :::CIS