IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.20743 of 2025
Date of Decision: 31.12.2025

Achar Singh and Anr.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?
For the Petitioners: Mr. Mohit Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the State.
Ms. Komal Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Before reply, if any, could be called for from the respondents, learned counsel representing the petitioners, while inviting attention of this Court to judgment dated 19.5.2009 passed by Coordinate Bench this Court in *CWP(T) No. 7712 of 2008* titled as ***Paras Ram v. State of Himachal Pradesh & Anr.*** and judgment dated 15.7.2010, passed by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in LPA No. 36 of 2010, titled as **Sita Ram v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.,** states that issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated in ***Paras Ram*** and ***Sita Ram*** (*supra*) and as such, petitioners would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide representation of the

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 09:30:28 :::CIS

petitioners (Annexure P-1) in light of aforesaid judgments in a time bound manner.

2. While putting in appearance on behalf of respondents, Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners ***(Annexure P-1)*** in light of ***Paras Ram*** and ***Sita Ram*** *(supra)*, expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and pass appropriate orders thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

December 31, 2025
(manjit)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 09:30:28 :::CIS