IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No. 1044 of 2026
Date of decision: 06.08.2026

Satish Kumar ...Petitioner.

Versus

Nipun Jindal & another ...Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Romesh Verma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate.
For the respondents : Mr. Rahul Thakur, Advocate.

Romesh Verma, Judge (Oral):

The present petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of judgment dated 21.04.2026, passed by this Court in ***CWP No. 4845 of 2026***, titled ***Satish Kumar vs. Himachal Pradesh road Transport Corporation & another.***

2. Learned counsel for the respondents has placed on record a copy of Office Order dated 06.08.2026, whereby the case of the petitioner has been allowed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the claim of the petitioner has been partly allowed, however, the entire claim as sought has not been given to him.

4. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to challenge the aforesaid Office Order dated 06.08.2026 before the competent Court/forum. Liberty granted. However, the respondent

[1] Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 10:20:06 :::CIS

is directed to take the office order dated 06.08.2026 to its logical end within 12 weeks from today.

5. Consequently, the present petition is closed with liberty as prayed for.

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

August 6, 2026. (Nisha)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 10:20:06 :::CIS

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 10:20:06 :::CIS