IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA

COPC No. 1292 of 2026

Date of decision: 15.10.2026.

Raju ...Petitioner.

Versus

Siddhartha Acharya ...Respondent.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Mr. B.S. Chauhan, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Aditi Rana, Advocate.

For the respondent : Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge:

Petitioner has invoked the contempt jurisdiction of this Court against the non-compliance of the judgment dated 11.05.2023, [Annexure P-1], in COPC No. 7005 of 2019, titled as **Raju** v. **Dr. Y.S. Parmar University & Anr**. whereby, as per paragraph 14 of the said judgment, the claim of petitioner was allowed, and the Impugned Order dated 04.11.2015 was quashed with directions to the Respondent-University to consider the claim of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds and to offer the appointment from the date the dependents of others were

[1] ***Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes***

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 09/11/2026 08:31:48 :::CIS

offered appointment, along with consequential benefits, by entitling the petitioner for monetary benefits from the date of judgment, and by counting past service for purposes of seniority within three months.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after passing of the judgment, the petitioner submitted a representation on 17.07.2023 [Annexure P-2] requesting to implement the judgment and Respondent-University assailed the Impugned Judgment before Hon'ble Division Bench, of this Court in LPA No. 473 of 2023, which stands decided by the Hon'ble Division Bench, leading to the affirmation of the judgment in question at this stage.

3. Pursuant to the issuance of notice, the matter was again listed on 01.10.2026, whereby the Respondent University was directed to file Compliance-Affidavit and to ensure compliance of the judgment before the next date.

4. Upon listing of the matter today, Respondent-Registrar of the University, has filed Compliance-Affidavit dated 14.10.2026. The operative part of the compliance affidavit reads as under:-

> "2. That, in due compliance with the aforesaid judgment and the directions issued

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 09/11/2026 08:31:48 :::CIS

by this Hon'ble Court, the Respondent-University has issued Office Order No. UHF.Regr.Rectt.3-41/2026-30165-200 dated 14.10.2026 (Annexure A-1), whereby the petitioner, namely Sh. Raju, has been given appointment in terms of the judgment dated 11.05.2026 passed by this Hon'ble Court."

5. Considering the fact that Respondent-University has complied with the judgment, by appointing the petitioner, on 14.10.2026 [Annexure A-1 filed with the Compliance-Affidavit], therefore, the judgment passed by this Court stands complied with.

6. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above facts.

7. In aforesaid terms, instant proceedings are closed and the notice of contempt is discharged.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

15th October, 2026.
(kck)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 09/11/2026 08:31:48 :::CIS