**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**COPC No.1371 of 2026**
**Date of Decision: 21.9.2026**

---

**Rakesh Kumar**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Rakesh Kanwar and Anr.**

**.....Respondents**

---

**Coram**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.**
**Whether approved for reporting?**

**For the Petitioner:** Ms. Mamta Garg, Advocate.

**For the Respondents:** Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

---

**Sandeep Sharma, J.** *(Oral)*

By way of present contempt petition, prayer has been made by the petitioner for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for their having willfully and intentionally disobeyed the directions contained in order/judgment dated 29.5.2026, passed by coordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.8011 of 2026, titled *Rakesh Kumar v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.,* whereby afore petition came to be disposed of with a direction to respondents/competent authority to consider and decide representation of the petitioner within eight weeks. Since no action, whatsoever, came to be taken at the behest of the

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 13:35:30 :::CIS

respondents pursuant to aforesaid direction, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

**2.** Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General appearing on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to presume and believe that by now, order/judgment sought to be executed, must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be definitely complied with within a period of three weeks from today.

**3.** Consequently, in view of the fair stand adopted by the learned Additional Advocate General, this Court sees no reason to keep present petition alive and accordingly, same is disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment alleged to have been violated within a period of three weeks, failing which petitioner would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken against the erring officials.

**September 21, 2026** **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(manjit) **Judge**

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 13:35:30 :::CIS