IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No.1395 of 2026
Date of Decision: 22.9.2026

Sudershan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rakesh Kanwar and Anr.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Gambhir Chauhan, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

By way of present contempt petition, prayer has been made by the petitioner for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for their having willfully and intentionally disobeyed the directions contained in order/judgment dated 10.11.2025, passed by this Court in CWP No.17321 of 2025, titled *Sudershan Singh v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.,* whereby afore petition came to be disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide representation of the petitioner in light of judgment passed in CWP No. 1638 of 2024, titled as *Mohit Sharma and Anr. v. State of Himachal*

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 16:49:38 :::CIS

Pradesh and Ors., within six weeks. Since no action, whatsoever, came to be taken at the behest of the respondents pursuant to aforesaid direction, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

2. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General appearing on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to presume and believe that by now, order/judgment sought to be executed, must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be definitely complied with within a period of two weeks from today.

3. Consequently, in view of the fair stand adopted by the learned Additional Advocate General, this Court sees no reason to keep present petition alive and accordingly, same is disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment alleged to have been violated within a period of two weeks, failing which petitioner would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken against the erring officials.

September 22, 2026 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(manjit) **Judge**

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 16:49:38 :::CIS