IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No.1583 of 2026
Date of Decision: 05.10.2026

Harnam Singh **.....Petitioner**

Versus

Ashish Singhmar & Ors. **.....Respondents**

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Ramesh Kaundal, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. ***(Oral)***

By way of instant Contempt Petition, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for their having willfully and intentionally disobeyed the directions contained in the order/judgment dated 22.08.2025, rendered in CWP No.13467 of 2025, titled as ***Harnam Singh Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors***, whereby this Court, while disposing the writ petition filed by the petitioner, directed the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners within a period of six weeks. Since despite there being aforesaid direction, no steps, whatsoever, came to be taken at the behest of the respondents for doing the needful, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

High Court of HP

::: Downloaded on - 07/11/2026 17:53:12 :::CIS

2. Mr. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, while accepting notice on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to believe and presume that by now, judgment, alleged to have been violated, must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be positively complied with within a period of two weeks from today.

3. Consequently, in view of the fair stand adopted by learned Additional Advocate General, this Court sees no reason to keep the present petition alive and accordingly, same is closed. However, respondents are directed to do the needful in terms of judgment, alleged to have been violated within a period of two weeks from today, failing which, they would aggravate the contempt and petitioner would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken against the erring officials. Notice issued to the respondents are discharged.

October 05, 2026 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(Sunil) **Judge**

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 07/11/2026 17:53:12 :::CIS