IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

COPC No.1649 of 2026

Decided on: 16.10.2026.

Baldev. ....Petitioner

Versus

Er. Anju Sharma and another ....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner : Mr. Ravinder Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioners have come up before this Court, invoking the contempt jurisdiction, alleging non compliance of order/judgment dated 21.08.2026 *[*Annexure C-1*]*, directing the Respondent-Competent Authority to continue the petitioner in service till attaining the age of 60 years or until any further order is passed in the matter, whichever is earlier.

2. On issuance of notice, the matter was listed on 13.10.2026, when, as prayed by Learned State Counsel, the

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 09/11/2026 08:31:43 :::CIS

matter was adjourned so as to enable him to have Instructions.

3. Upon listing of the case today, Learned State Counsel has furnished the Instructions dated 15.10.2026 along with an office order dated 15.10.2026, [*Taken on record*], as imparted by Executive Engineer, Jal Shakti Division, Arki, stating therein, that the petitioner has been reinstated in service.

4. This fact is not disputed by Learned Counsel for petitioner also.[*Statement taken on record*]

5. Considering the above facts, nothing survives for determination; and instant proceedings are closed and notice of contempt is discharged.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

October 16, 2026
[Anita]

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 09/11/2026 08:31:43 :::CIS