IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CMPMO No. 255 of 2024
Decided on: 31.12.2024

Mamta …….. petitioner

Versus

Ravinder Kumar …….respondent

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bipin Chander Negi, Judge
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the petitioner : Mr. Sunny Modgil, Advocate (through video conferencing).

For the respondent : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Suri, Advocate.

.

Bipin Chander Negi, Judge (oral)

The proceedings in the case at hand were instituted on 8th July, 2021. The trial Court vide order dated 29.07.2022 had granted interim maintenance to the present petitioner. Feeling aggrieved by the same, the present respondent had preferred an appeal. In the appeal so filed, the amount of maintenance had been reduced from Rs.10,000/- to Rs.8,500/- vide impugned order dated 10.03.2023. Feeling aggrieved by the aforesaid reduction, the present petition had been preferred against the impugned order dated 10.03.2023.

2. Admittedly in the case at hand, proceedings before the trial Court had not been stayed. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties submit that at present, the recording of

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 16:45:14 :::CIS

evidence on behalf of the petitioner is going on before the trial Court. Both the counsels submit that they would be satisfied, if proceedings pending before the trial Court are expeditiously disposed of within six months from today.

3. In view thereof, the present petition is not pressed by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner. The trial Court is directed to dispose of the pending lis inter se the parties as expeditiously as possible within a period of six months from today, subject to convenience.

4. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as not pressed alongwith all pending applications, if any.

(Bipin Chander Negi)
Judge

December 31, 2024
tarun

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 16:45:14 :::CIS