**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**FAO No.279/2018**
**Decided on 30.10.2026**

Ajmer Singh and another .....Appellants.

Versus

Rachpal Singh and others .....Respondents.

*Coram*
***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bipin Chander Negi, Judge.***
***Whether approved for reporting?[1]***

For the Appellants : None

For the Respondents : Ms. Anjali Soni Verma, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

***Bipin Chander Negi, Judge*** *(oral).*

The claim petition preferred by the present appellants before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal-II, Una, had been dismissed vide judgment dated 17.04.2014. The deceased, namely, Sh. Yudhvir Singh, in the case at hand was the son of the appellants. The accident in question had taken place between a motorcycle being driven by the deceased and a truck admittedly stated to have been parked. A FIR under Sections 279 and 337 of the Indian Penal Code, bearing No. 50 dated 18.02.2010, had been registered at the concerned Police Station against the deceased. A copy of the FIR is Exhibit PW-2/A.

3. The deceased, while driving the motorcycle, hit the parked truck from behind. Based on consideration of the entire facts, the

[1] Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? YES

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 14:33:48 :::CIS

Motor Accident Claims Tribunal came to a definite conclusion that the accident had occurred due to the fault of the deceased, i.e. Yudhvir Singh, son of the appellants.

4. I have perused the record. There is no reason to differ.

5. The case at hand was admitted vide order dated 30.05.2018. Subsequent thereto, the matter was listed on 18.07.2026. None had appeared on behalf of the appellants before the Additional Registrar (Judicial). Steps for service of respondent No. 2 had to be taken. The matter was posted thereafter on 12.08.2026, 02.09.2026 and 07.10.2026 before the Additional Registrar (Judicial), however, none appeared on behalf of the appellants nor steps for effecting service of respondent No.2 had been taken.

6. It appears that none is interested in pursuing the matter. In view thereof, even on this account, the present appeal is dismissed. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(Bipin Chander Negi)**
**Judge**

30th October, 2026
(Tarun Singh)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 14:33:48 :::CIS