IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

RSA No. 454 of 2008
Date of Decision: 07.08.2026

Hem Raj ...Appellant.

Versus

Partap Chand & others ...Respondents.

Coram
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Romesh Verma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the appellant: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Het Ram Thakur and Mr. Amrit, Advocates, for respondent No.1.

Romesh Verma, Judge (oral)

On 25.04.2026, learned counsel for the appellant stated that during the pendency of the appeal, the sole appellant had expired. Therefore, he sought two weeks' time to take consequential steps for bringing on record the legal representatives of the deceased. Thereafter, the case was listed for taking consequential steps on 22nd May 2026, 22nd June 2026, and 22nd July 2026, but till date no steps have been taken.

2. Today, learned counsel for the appellant has placed on record copy of an email sent by the son of the deceased appellant to the counsel stating that he does not want to proceed with the aforesaid matter.

[1] Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 10:03:16 :::CIS

3. Consequently, in view of the aforesaid, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

August 7, 2026
(Nisha)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 08/11/2026 10:03:16 :::CIS