IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.38 of 2026
Date of Decision: 30.10.2026

Bhagat Ram & Ors.

……Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.

… Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Bhuvnesh Sharma, Senior Advocate with Ms. Vishali Lakhanpal, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Careful perusal of compliance affidavit filed at the behest of the respondents, this Court is convinced and satisfied that mandate contained in the judgment sought to be executed stands duly complied with and as such, nothing remains to be adjudicated in instant proceedings.

2. Mr. Bhuvnesh Sharma, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners, vehemently argued that compliance is not in terms of mandate contained in the judgment sought to be executed, but this Court is of the view that correctness of order passed in purported

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 10:55:29 :::CIS

compliance of the judgment sought to be executed cannot be looked into in the instant proceedings, rather for that purpose, appropriate remedy for him is to file independent proceedings.

3. In view of the above, present execution petition is disposed of, reserving liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate proceedings in the appropriate court of law, laying therein challenge to order passed in purported compliance of judgment sought to be executed.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

October 30, 2026
(sunil)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 03/11/2026 10:55:29 :::CIS