IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.112 of 2026
Date of Decision: 3.9.2026

Vikram Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

By way of present execution petition, prayer has been made by the petitioner for implementation and execution of order/judgment dated 22.7.2022, passed by coordinate Bench of this Court in CWPOA No.6748 of 2019, titled *Vikram Singh v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.,* whereby afore petition came to be disposed of with a direction to respondents/competent authority to extend the benefit of regularization to the petitioner in terms of regularization policy framed by the state government in the year 2009, from the date petitioner completed eight

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 07/11/2026 19:33:11 :::CIS

years of service with 240 days in each calendar year along with consequential/financial benefits, which were restricted to three years prior to the filing of OA. Since no action, whatsoever, came to be taken at the behest of the respondents pursuant to aforesaid direction, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

2. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General appearing on behalf of the respondents, states that though he has every reason to presume and believe that by now, order/judgment sought to be executed, must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be definitely complied with within a period of three weeks from today.

3. Consequently, in view of the fair stand adopted by learned counsel for the respondents, this Court sees no reason to keep present petition alive and accordingly, same is disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment alleged to have been violated within a period of four weeks, failing which petitioner would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken towards implementation of the order/judgment.

September 3, 2026 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(manjit) **Judge**

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 07/11/2026 19:33:11 :::CIS