IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.2454 of 2026
Date of Decision: 14.10.2026

Budh Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Anr.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Balram Sharma, Deputy Solicitor General of India with Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.1.
Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for respondent No.2.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

By way of present execution petition, prayer has been made by the petitioner for implementation and execution of order/judgment dated 7.1.2024, passed by this Court in CWP No. 3363 of 2021, titled as *Budh Ram v. Union of India and Anr.,* whereby afore petition came to be withdrawn with a direction to respondent/competent authority to decide the pending execution petition filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks. Since no action, whatsoever, came to be taken at the behest of the

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 04/11/2026 09:25:18 :::CIS

respondents pursuant to aforesaid direction, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

2. Mr. Balram Sharma, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India and Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, while accepting notices on behalf of the respective respondents state that though they have every reason to presume and believe that by now, order/judgment sought to be executed, must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be definitely complied with within a period of three weeks from today.

3. Consequently, in view of the fair stand adopted by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court sees no reason to keep the present petition alive and accordingly, same is disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment alleged to have been violated within a period of three weeks, failing which petitioner would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken towards implementation of the order/judgment.

October 14, 2026 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(manjit) **Judge**

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 04/11/2026 09:25:18 :::CIS