IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA

Ex. Petition No. 2588 of 2026

Date of decision: 03.11.2026.

Dalip Kumar ...Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. & others ...Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Mr. Suresh Singh Saini, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Sumit Sharma, Deputy Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge:

Petitioner has come up before this Court, seeking execution/implementation of the order/judgment dated 10.08.2026 [Annexure E-1], passed in CWP No. 4724 of 2026, titled as Dalip Kumar vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & others, whereby, a Coordinate Bench of this Court, had passed the following orders:-

> "Accordingly, in the light of the above observations, the present petition is allowed. Impugned order dated 29.8.2025 (Annexure P-1) as well as order dated 30.12.2025 (Annexure P-3) are quashed. Letter dated 29.10.2025 (Annexure P-11) is also quashed and set aside.

[1] ***Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes***

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 04/11/2026 11:12:37 :::CIS

> Consequences to ensue. However, respondents shall be at liberty to proceed against the petitioner departmentally in terms of notification Annexure P-2, dated 22.02.2025, in accordance with law."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of the judgment dated 10.8.2026 [Annexure E-1], petitioner has submitted representation to Director Land Records on 13.8.2026 [Annexure E-2], but neither the judgment has been complied with nor any compliance/speaking order has been conveyed to the petitioner till date.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, waives service of notice on behalf of respondents.

5. At this stage, Learned Counsel for the petitioner, on Instructions, states that petitioner shall be satisfied, in case, Respondents are directed to implement the order/judgment in a time bound manner. [*Statement Taken On Record].*

6. Prayer, being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned Counsel for the Respondents also.

7. Considering the matter in above perspective, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the Respondents-

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 04/11/2026 11:12:37 :::CIS

Competent Authority to implement the order/judgment within a period of four weeks from today.

8. In aforesaid terms, the instant petition stands disposed of, alongwith pending applications, if any.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

November 03, 2026.
(kck)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 04/11/2026 11:12:37 :::CIS