IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Cr.MP No. 3575 of 2026 in
Cr. Revision No. 53 of 2017
Date of Decision: 16.10.2026.

Bimal Sharma ...Petitioner

Versus

The Mandi Urban Co-operative Bank Ltd & Anr. ...Respondent

Coram

Hon'ble Mr Justice Rakesh Kainthla, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1] No

For the Petitioner : Mr. Surender Verma, Advocate.

For the Respondent No.1 : Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate vice Mr. G.R. Palsra, Advocate.

For the Respondent No.2/State : Mr. Jitender Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Rakesh Kainthla, Judge

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the receipt dated 27.08.2026 issued by Member Secretary, H.P. Legal Services Authority, Kasumpti, Shimla, regarding the deposit of ₹75,00/- being the 15% of the cheque amount of ₹50,000/-.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 submits that he has received the amount and he has instructions not to

[1] Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 06/11/2026 11:28:44 :::CIS

proceed with the complaint in view of the compromise effected between the parties.

3. The record shows that the petitioner/accused was convicted and sentenced by learned Trial Court. The petitioner/accused unsuccessfully challenged his conviction and sentence before learned First Appellate Court and before this Court in Cr. Revision No. 53 of 2017 titled Bimal Sharma versus The Mandi Urban Co-operative Bank Ltd & Anr decided on 25.05.2026.

4. The Division Bench of this Court held in ***Chune Ram vs. Brikam Chand, 2025:HHC:38122 decided on 13.11.2025*** that the Court can quash the conviction even after its finalization in criminal revision based on the compromise effected between the parties. This judgment is binding upon this Court.

5. In view of this judgment, the present petition is allowed and the conviction and sentence awarded by the learned Trial Court as affirmed by learned Appellate Court and by this Court in Cr. Revision No. 53 of 2017 titled Bimal Sharma versus The Mandi Urban Co-operative Bank Ltd & Anr decided on

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 06/11/2026 11:28:44 :::CIS

25.05.2026 are order to be set aside and the complaint is dismissed as not pressed.

6. It has been submitted that no amount has been deposited before the learned Trial Court or this Court. In view of this, no order is required to be passed for the disbursal of the amount by this Court.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Judge

16th October, 2026
(Nikita)

High Court of H.P.

::: Downloaded on - 06/11/2026 11:28:44 :::CIS